

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

STICHTING PENSIOENFONDS ABP,

Plaintiff,

v.

MERCK & CO., INC., RAYMOND V.  
GILMARTIN, JUDY C. LEWENT, ALISE S.  
REICIN, KENNETH C. FRAZIER, EDWARD  
M. SCOLNICK, DAVID W. ANSTICE, and  
PETER S. KIM

Defendants.

CASE NO. 2:05-CV-05060-SRC-MAS

NORGES BANK,

Plaintiff,

v.

MERCK & CO., INC., RAYMOND V.  
GILMARTIN, JUDY C. LEWENT, ALISE S.  
REICIN, KENNETH C. FRAZIER,  
EDWARD M. SCOLNICK, DAVID W.  
ANSTICE, and PETER S. KIM,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 07-CV-04021-SRC-MAS

[Caption continued on following page]

DEKA INVESTMENT GmbH, DEKA  
INTERNATIONAL S.A. LUXEMBURG, DEKA  
INTERNATIONAL (IRELAND) LIMITED,  
DEKA FUNDMASTER  
INVESTMENTGESELLSCHAFT MBH,  
INTERNATIONAL FUND MANAGEMENT  
S.A., MUNICH ERGO ASSET MANAGEMENT  
GmbH, INTERNATIONALE FONDS SERVICE  
AG, INTERNATIONALE  
KAPITALANLAGEGESELLSCHAFT MBH,  
METZLER INVESTMENT GmbH, and  
FRANKFURTER SERVICE  
KAPITALANLAGEGESELLSCHAFT mbH,

Plaintiffs,

v.

MERCK & CO., INC., RAYMOND V.  
GILMARTIN, JUDY C. LEWENT, ALISE S.  
REICIN, KENNETH C. FRAZIER,  
EDWARD M. SCOLNICK, DAVID W.  
ANSTICE, and PETER S. KIM,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 07-CV-04022-SRC-MAS

[Caption continued on following page]

UNION ASSET MANAGEMENT HOLDING  
AG, OPPENHEIM PRAMERICA ASSET  
MANAGEMENT S.à.r.l., ERSTE-SPARINVEST  
KAPITALANLAGEGESELLSCHAFT m.b.H.,  
and OPPENHEIM  
KAPITALANLAGEGESELLSCHAFT m.b.H.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

MERCK & CO., INC., RAYMOND V.  
GILMARTIN, JUDY C. LEWENT, ALISE S.  
REICIN, KENNETH C. FRAZIER,  
EDWARD M. SCOLNICK, DAVID W.  
ANSTICE, and PETER S. KIM,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 07-CV-04023-SRC-MAS

[Caption continued on following page]

AFA LIVFÖRSÄKRINGSAKTIEBOLAG, AFA  
TRYGGHETSFÖRSÄKRINGSAKTIEBOLAG,  
AFA SJUKFÖRSÄKRINGSAKTIEBOLAG on  
its own behalf and on behalf of  
KOLLEKTIVAVTALSSTIFTELSEN  
TRYGGHETFONDEN TSL, ALECTA  
PENSIONSÖRSÄKRING ÖMSESIDIGT,  
SJUNDE AP-FONDEN, DANSKE INVEST  
ADMINISTRATION A/S, SWEDBANK ROBUR  
AB, AMF PENSION FONDFÖRVALTNING  
AB, ARBETSMARKNADSFÖRSÄKRINGAR  
PENSIONSÖRSÄKRINGSAKTIEBOLAG,  
SKANDINAVISKA ENSKILDA BANKEN AB  
on its own behalf and on behalf of SEB  
INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT AB, SEB  
ASSET MANAGEMENT S.A. and GAMLA  
LIVFÖRSÄKRINGSAKTIEBOLAGET SEB  
TrYGG LIV,

Plaintiffs,

v.

MERCK & CO., INC., RAYMOND V.  
GILMARTIN, JUDY C. LEWENT, ALISE S.  
REICIN, KENNETH C. FRAZIER,  
EDWARD M. SCOLNICK, DAVID W.  
ANSTICE, and PETER S. KIM,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 07-CV-04024-SRC-MAS

[Caption continued on following page]

ALLIANZ GLOBAL INVESTORS  
KAPITALANLAGEGESELLSCHAFT MbH;  
ALLIANZ GLOBAL INVESTORS  
LUXEMBOURG S.A.; and ALLIANZ GLOBAL  
INVESTORS IRELAND LIMITED,

Plaintiffs,

v.

MERCK & CO., INC., RAYMOND V.  
GILMARTIN, JUDY C. LEWENT, ALISE S.  
REICIN, KENNETH C. FRAZIER,  
EDWARD M. SCOLNICK, DAVID W.  
ANSTICE, and PETER S. KIM,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 07-CV-04451-SRC-MAS

DWS INVESTMENT GmbH, PIONEER  
INVESTMENTS  
KAPITALANLAGEGESELLSCHAFT mbH,  
DEUTSCHE ASSET MANAGEMENT  
INVESTMENTGESELLSCHAFT mbH,  
WIENER STÄDTISCHE VERSICHERUNG AG  
VIENNA INSURANCE GROUP,  
LIECHTENSTEINISCHE LANDESBANK  
AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT, DWS (AUSTRIA)  
INVESTMENTGESELLSCHAFT mbH,  
LANDESBANK BERLIN INVESTMENT GmbH,  
and LRI LANDESBANK RHEINLAND-PFALZ  
INTERNATIONAL S.A.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

MERCK & CO., INC., RAYMOND V.  
GILMARTIN, JUDY C. LEWENT, ALISE S.  
REICIN, KENNETH C. FRAZIER,  
EDWARD M. SCOLNICK, DAVID W.  
ANSTICE, and PETER S. KIM,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 07-CV-04546-SRC-MAS

[Caption continued on following page]

KBC ASSET MANAGEMENT NV, PIONEER  
INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT LTD.,  
PIONEER INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT  
SGRpa, and PIONEER INVESTMENTS  
AUSTRIA GmbH,

Plaintiffs,

v.

MERCK & CO., INC., RAYMOND V.  
GILMARTIN, JUDY C. LEWENT, ALISE S.  
REICIN, KENNETH C. FRAZIER, EDWARD  
M. SCOLNICK, DAVID W. ANSTICE, and  
PETER S. KIM

Defendants.

CASE NO. 2:11-CV-06259-SRC-MAS

### STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Court's Order dated May 5, 2005, all pending and subsequently-filed Vioxx-related securities actions, including the above-captioned individual actions (the "Individual Actions"), are "consolidated for all purposes" into *In re Merck & Co., Inc. Securities, Derivative & ERISA Litigation*, MDL No. 1658 (the "MDL");

WHEREAS, the MDL includes the Individual Actions, as well as a consolidated securities action, No. 2:05-CV-02367-SRC-MAS (the "Consolidated Securities Action");

WHEREAS, the complaints in the Individual Actions involve claims, allegations, and parties that substantially overlap with the claims, allegations, and parties in the Consolidated Securities Action;

WHEREAS, on December 3, 2008, the parties to three of the Individual Actions (*Stichting Pensioenfond ABP v. Merck & Co., Inc.*, No. 2:05-CV-05060-SRC-MAS; *AFA Livförsäkringsaktiebolag, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc.*, No. 07-cv-04024-SRC-

MAS; and *Allianz Global Investors Kapitalanlagegesellschaft MbH, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc.*, No. 07-cv-04451-SRC-MAS) entered into a stipulation, which this Court “so ordered,” setting the deadline for Plaintiffs to file amended complaints no later than forty-five (45) days from the date on which this Court resolved the motions to dismiss the Fifth Amended Corrected and Consolidated Securities Complaint (the “Fifth Amended Complaint”) in the Consolidated Securities Action;

WHEREAS, on March 10, 2009, Plaintiffs in the Consolidated Securities Action filed the Fifth Amended Complaint;

WHEREAS, Defendant Merck & Co., Inc. (“Merck”) filed a motion to dismiss the Fifth Amended Complaint in the Consolidated Securities Action on behalf of Merck and all individual defendants other than Defendant Scolnick (the “Merck Individual Defendants” and, together with Merck, the “Merck Defendants”);

WHEREAS, Defendant Scolnick filed a separate motion to dismiss the Fifth Amended Complaint in the Consolidated Securities Action;

WHEREAS, on August 8, 2011, this Court issued a single opinion (the “August 8 Opinion”) on the motions to dismiss the Fifth Amended Complaint in the Consolidated Securities Action filed by the Merck Defendants and Defendant Scolnick (together, the “Defendants”);

WHEREAS, in the August 8 Opinion, this Court granted in part Defendants’ motions to dismiss the Fifth Amended Complaint in the Consolidated Securities Action as follows:

(1) the Court dismissed the claims under Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”) to the extent those claims were asserted against Defendants Gilmartin, Lewent, Frazier, Anstice, and Kim;

(2) the Court dismissed the claims under Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act to the extent those claims were based on alleged misrepresentations made by Merck and/or Defendant Raymond Gilmartin on September 30, 2004;

(3) the Court dismissed the claims under Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act to the extent that those claims alleged losses occurring after September 30, 2004;

(4) the Court dismissed the claims under Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act as asserted against Defendant Scolnick to the extent they are predicated on statements that are not alleged to have been made by and attributed to Defendant Scolnick;

(5) the Court dismissed the claims under Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act as asserted against Defendant Scolnick to the extent those claims were based on Merck's 2000 Annual Report, Merck's 2001 Form 10-K, and/or Merck's 2002 Registration Statement; and

(6) the Court dismissed the claim against Defendant Scolnick under Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act to the extent the claim was based on alleged misrepresentations post-dating Defendant Scolnick's retirement from Merck on December 31, 2002;

WHEREAS, in the August 8 Opinion, this Court otherwise denied Defendants' motions to dismiss the Fifth Amended Complaint in all other respects;

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs' counsel in the first seven above-captioned actions informed Defendants that Plaintiffs intended to file amended complaints to conform their allegations to the August 8 Opinion with respect to certain overlapping claims and allegations in the Consolidated Securities Action;



WHEREAS, Plaintiffs in the first seven above-captioned actions filed amended complaints on October 21, 2011, and Plaintiffs in the above-captioned action entitled *KBC Asset Management NV v. Merck & Co., Inc.*, No. 2:11-cv-06259-SRC-MAS filed a complaint on October 26, 2011, which was consolidated with the first seven above-captioned actions (collectively, the “Individual Complaints”);

WHEREAS, the Individual Complaints are substantially identical to one another;

WHEREAS, the parties, claims, and allegations in the Individual Complaints overlap substantially with the parties, claims, and allegations in the Consolidated Securities Action Fifth Amended Complaint;

WHEREAS, Defendants in *Stichting Pensioenfonds ABP v. Merck & Co., Inc.*, No. 2:05-cv-05060-SRC-MAS (the “ABP Action”) will file motions to dismiss the ABP Second Amended Complaint on January 20, 2012;

WHEREAS, pursuant to this Court’s Order on October 6, 2011, Defendants’ time to move or otherwise respond to the remaining Individual Actions was stayed until forty-five (45) days after this Court’s decision on the motions to dismiss in the ABP Action;

WHEREAS, the Parties to the Individual Actions do not wish to re-brief arguments and issues already considered and decided by this Court in the Consolidated Securities Action, but wish nonetheless to preserve any such arguments and issues for appeal; and

WHEREAS, the Court has directed the Parties to the Individual Actions to enter into a stipulation providing that the Court’s rulings in the August 8 Opinion apply to the Individual Actions to the same extent as to the Consolidated Securities Action;

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties, through their undersigned counsel, that:

1. The Parties to the Individual Actions agree that any arguments raised by Defendants and/or Plaintiffs in the Consolidated Securities Action in connection with any briefing (including supplemental letter briefs) on Defendants' motions to dismiss the Fifth Amended Complaint shall not be re-briefed in the Individual Actions, but shall be preserved for appeal as if such arguments had been raised in motions to dismiss and in opposition to such motions in the Individual Actions;

2. The Parties to the Individual Actions agree that the following claims, dismissed in the August 8 Opinion, shall be deemed dismissed to the same extent in the Individual Actions, but shall be preserved for appeal as if such arguments had been raised in motions to dismiss and in opposition to such motions in the Individual Actions:

- a. Any claims under Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act against Defendants Gilmartin, Lewent, Frazier, Anstice, and Kim;
- b. Any claims under Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act based on alleged misrepresentations made by Merck and/or Defendant Raymond Gilmartin on September 30, 2004;
- c. Any claims under Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act based on alleged losses occurring after September 30, 2004;
- d. Any claims under Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act against Defendant Scolnick to the extent those claims are based on

purported misrepresentations not alleged to have been made by and attributed to Defendant Scolnick;

- e. Any claims under Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act against Defendant Scolnick based on Merck's 2000 Annual Report, Merck's 2001 Form 10-K, and/or Merck's 2002 Registration Statement;
- f. Any claims under Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act against Defendant Scolnick based on misrepresentations post-dating Defendant Scolnick's retirement from Merck on December 31, 2002;

3. Nothing in this Stipulation shall preclude the Parties in the Individual Actions from advancing, in connection with any motion to dismiss, any argument that was not raised or decided in the Consolidated Securities Action.

DATED: January 17, 2012

AGREED TO BY:

**GRANT & EISENHOFER P.A.**

By: Geoffrey C. Jarvis by permission  
Jay W. Eisenhofer  
Geoffrey C. Jarvis  
Jeff A. Almeida  
485 Lexington Avenue, 29th Floor  
New York, NY 10017  
(646) 722-8500  
gjarvis@gelaw.com

**SAIBER LLC**

Jeffrey W. Lorell  
Marc E. Wolin  
18 Columbia Turnpike, Suite 200  
Florham Park, NJ 07932  
(973) 622-3333  
jwl@saiber.com

**MOTLEY RICE LLC**

Ann K. Ritter  
28 Bridgeside Boulevard  
Mt. Pleasant, SC 29464  
(843) 216-9000

**STURMAN LLC**

Deborah Sturman  
275 Seventh Avenue, 2nd Floor  
New York, NY 10001  
(212) 367-7017

*Counsel for Plaintiffs Stichting Pensioenfond  
ABP; Norges Bank; Deka Investment GmbH,  
Deka International S.A. Luxemburg, Deka  
International (Ireland) Limited, Deka  
FundMaster Investmentgesellschaft mbH,  
International Fund Management S.A., Munich  
Ergo Asset Management GmbH,  
Internationale Fonds Service AG,  
Internationale Kapitalanlagegesellschaft  
mbH, Metzler Investment GmbH, and  
Frankfurter Service Kapitalanlagegesellschaft  
mbH; Union Asset Management Holding AG,  
Oppenheim Pramerica Asset Management  
S.à.r.l., Erste-Sparinvest*

*Kapitalanlagegesellschaft m.b.H., and  
Oppenheim Kapitalanlagegesellschaft mbH;  
DWS Investment GmbH, Pioneer Investments  
Kapitalanlagegesellschaft mbH, Deutsche  
Asset Management Investmentgesellschaft  
mbH, Wiener Städtische Versicherung AG  
Vienna Insurance Group, Liechtensteinische  
Landesbank Aktiengesellschaft, DWS  
(Austria) Investmentgesellschaft mbH,  
Landesbank Berlin Investment GmbH, and  
LRI Landesbank Rheinland-Pfalz  
International S.A., KBC Asset Management  
NV, Pioneer Investment Management LTD.,  
Pioneer Investment Management SGRpa, and  
Pioneer Investments Austria GmbH*

DATED: January 17, 2012

**KESSLER TOPAZ MELTZER & CHECK  
LLP**

By: John Kehoe / by permission

David Kessler  
Stuart L. Berman  
John A. Kehoe  
280 King of Prussia Road  
Radnor, PA 19087  
(610) 667-7706  
dkessler@ktmc.com

**SAIBER LLC**

Arnold B. Calmann  
One Gateway Center  
10th Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102-5311  
(973) 622-3333  
abc@saiber.com

*Counsel for Plaintiffs AFA  
Livförsäkringsaktiebolag, AFA  
Trygghetsförsäkringsaktiebolag, AFA  
Sjukförsäkringsaktiebolag on its own behalf  
and on behalf of Kollektivavtalsstiftelsen  
Trygghetsfonden TSL, Alecta  
pensionsförsäkring ömsesidigt, Fjärde AP-  
Fonden, Sjunde AP-Fonden, Danske Invest  
Administration A/S, Swedbank Robur AB, AMF  
Pension Fondförvaltning ab,  
Arbetsmarknadsförsäkringar  
Pensionsförsäkringsaktiebolag,  
Skandinaviska Enskilda Banken AB on its own  
behalf and on behalf of SEB Investment  
Management AB, SEB Asset Management  
S.A., and Gamla Livförsäkringsaktiebolaget  
SEB Trygg Liv; Allianz Global Investors  
Kapitalanlagegesellschaft MbH, Allianz  
Global Investors Luxembourg S.A., and  
Allianz Global Investors Ireland Limited*

DATED: January 17, 2012

**CRAVATH, SWAIN & MOORE LLP**

By: 

Evan R. Chesler  
Robert H. Baron  
Karin A. DeMasi  
Worldwide Plaza  
825 Eighth Avenue  
New York, NY 10019-7475  
(212) 474-1000  
kdemasi@cravath.com

**HUGHES HUBBARD & REED LLP**

William R. Stein  
Eric Parnes  
1775 I Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20006-2401  
(202) 721-4600  
parnes@hugheshubbard.com

*Counsel for Defendants Merck & Co., Inc.,  
Raymond V. Gilmartin, Judy C. Lewent, Alise  
S. Reicin, Kenneth C. Frazier, David W.  
Anstice, and Peter S. Kim*

DATED: January 17, 2012

**SCHULTE ROTH & ZABEL LLP**

By: 

Martin L. Perschetz  
Sung-Hee Suh  
William H. Gussman, Jr.  
919 Third Avenue  
New York, NY 10022  
(212) 756-2000  
bill.gussman@srz.com

**LOWENSTEIN SANDLER PC**

Lawrence M. Rolnick  
Sheila A. Sadighi  
65 Livingston Avenue  
Roseland, NJ 07068  
(973) 597-2500  
lrolnick@lowenstein.com

*Counsel for Defendant Edward M. Scolnick*

SO ORDERED: \_\_\_\_\_, 2012

---

Hon. Stanley R. Chesler  
United States District Judge